

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 MICHAEL D'AMORE, et al.,

8 Plaintiff(s),

9 v.

10 CAESARS ENTERPRISE SERVICES,
11 LLC, et al.,

12 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:18-CV-1990 JCM (VCF)

ORDER

13 Presently before the court is the matter of *D'Amore et al. v. Caesars Enterprise Services,*
14 *LLC et al.*, case number 2:18-cv-01990-JCM-VCF.

15 On November 13, 2018, petitioners David R. Markham, Malgorzata K. Realin, and
16 Michael J. Morpew each filed separate verified petitions for permission to practice *pro hac vice*.
17 (ECF Nos. 9, 10, 11).

18 The applications before the court reflect that the petitioners signed their applications in
19 Clark County, Nevada. However, the notaries attest that the petitioners signed the applications in
20 San Diego, California. Accordingly, all three petitions fail to provide satisfactory signatures.

21 In accordance with the foregoing, the court denies all three verified petitions (ECF Nos. 9,
22 10, 11). *See* LR IA 11-2(h) ("The court may grant or deny a petition to practice under this rule.").
23 Petitioners shall file, within ten (10) days of the entry of this order, verified petitions that fully
24 comply with the applicable local rules. Failure to timely comply will result in "the striking of any
and all documents previously filed by the attorney." LR IA 11-2(j).

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...

28 ...

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly,
IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED November 27, 2018.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE